Similarity assessment of OWFs within UK marine habitats

University of Exeter

Sam Gierhart, Anthony Bicknell & Matthew Witt

Sea Mammal

Research



































Background

- PrePARED focuses on two highly monitored OWF sites: Moray Firth and Firth of Forth
- Site comparison requires evidence-based decision making
- Utilising a broad bio-geographical approach that considers the base environment to investigate similarity and site comparison

















Scotland

Objective

- Identify environmental similarities between PrePARED sites and other offshore wind farms, to:
 - Seek sites where findings might be transferable
 - Find opportunities for collaborative / structured science to improve understanding
 - Identify potential reference or comparison sites
- This analysis has been conducted on both the PrePARED sites; this presentation will mostly focus on Moray Firth





Seabed substrate



Seabed slope (degrees)



Salinity (ppt)



Sea bottom temperature (°C)



Vertical stratification (J m⁻³)



Spring tide current (m/s)







UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology





Wind farm database

• Database was gathered from various sources

Included:

- Only UK windfarms
- All operational windfarms
- ScotWind and INTOG sites

Excluded:

- European windfarms
- Leasing round 4 and 5







Moray Firth

- Higher similarity regions: East of Scotland; North of Scotland; Central North Sea.
- Lower similarity regions: Southern North Sea; English Channel; Irish and Celtic Sea















Moray Firth

Distribution of UK OWF similarity scores 10.0 Number of OWFs 7.5 -5.0 2.5 0.0 0.0 1.0 -0.5 0.5 -1.0 Similarity score















Firth of Forth



Firth of Forth

- Higher similarity regions: East of Scotland; Northeast of England
- Lower similarity regions: Southern North Sea; English Channel; Irish and Celtic Sea















Scotland

Considerations & Applications

Considerations

- Uncertainty exists regarding how the foundation type might influence prey-predator assemblages
- We don't fully understand how the interactions of biogeographical variables drive ecology

Key Take-home messages

- Evidence that PrePARED findings can be broadly comparable to future areas of offshore wind
- Guide selection of future fixed and floating wind study sites

Future

- Cross reference similarity assessment with other studies of predators & prey to improve inferences
- Expand windfarm database
- Could include biological variables





Thank you!

Any questions?



























	N	Depth (m) (median; range)	Slope (degrees) (median; range)	Seabed (median; range)	Spring tide (m/s) (median; range)	Potential sea bottom temperature (°C) (median; range)	Salinity (PSU) (median; range)
Moray Firth	2	49 (37 – 64)	0.14 (0.08 – 0.39)	5.9 (4.2 – 8.0)	0.41 (0.26 – 0.51)	10.4 (10.3 – 10.4)	34.6 (34.61 - 34.62)
Selecte d farms (those above the median)	26	63 (18 – 135)	0.19 (0 – 1.37)	5.7 (1 – 9.7)	0.44 (0.2 – 1.8)	10.3 (9.1 - 12.4)	34.5 (32.9 - 34.8)
Varianc e		14	0.05	0.2	0.0300	0.1	



Bias in dataset





